arXiv:0806.4497v1 [math.PR] 27 Jun 2008

SEMICIRCLE LAW FOR RANDOM MATRICES OF LONG-RANGE PERCOLATION MODEL

S.Ayadi[*]

Abstract : We study the normalized eigenvalue counting measure $d\sigma$ of matrices of long-range percolation model. These are $(2n+1)\times(2n+1)$ random real symmetric matrices $H = \{H(i,j)\}_{i,j}$ whose elements are independent random variables taking zero value with probability $1-\psi\left((i-j)/b\right)$, $b \in \mathbb{R}^+$, where ψ is an even positive function $\psi(t) \leq 1$ vanishing at infinity. It is shown that if the third moment of $\sqrt{b}H(i,j),\ i \leq j$ is uniformly bounded then the measure $d\sigma := d\sigma_{n,b}$ weakly converges in probability in the limit $n, b \to \infty$, $b = o(n)$ to the semicircle (or Wigner) distribution. The proof uses the resolvent technique combined with the cumulant expansions method. We show that the normalized trace of resolvent $g_{n,b}(z)$ converges in average and that the variance of $g_{n,b}(z)$ vanishes. In the second part of the paper, we estimate the rate of decreasing of the variance of $g_{n,b}(z)$, under further conditions on the moments of $\sqrt{b}H(i,j),\ i \leq j$.

AMS Subject Classifications : 15A52, 45B85, 60F99.

Key Words : *random matrices, semicircle law, percolation model.*

running title : *Semicircle law for percolation model.*

1 Introduction

Spectral theory of random matrices is a relatively new branch of mathematics that intensively develops due to its rich mathematical content and aho due to numerous applications in theoretical phisics, wireless communications, financial mathematics and other fields (see reviews monographs [5], [13], [19], [20] and references therein).

---

[*] LMV - Laboratoire de Mathématiques de Versailles, Université de Versailles Saint-Quentin-en-Yvelines, 78035 Versailles (FRANCE). E-mail: ayadi@math.uvsq.fr

In theoretical physics random matrices of infinitely increasing dimensions are used to give the statistical description of systems with large number of degrees of freedom.

The first application was in nuclear physics, where E.Wigner proposed to consider the eigenvalues of real symmetric random matrices as a model for the energy levels of heavy atomic nuclei (see the monograph [13]). The real symmetric random matrix A_n of size $2n+1$ introduced by E. Wigner is defined by

$$A_n(i,j) = N^{-1/2} a(i,j), \quad |i|, |j| \leq n, \tag{1.1}$$

where $N = 2n+1$ and $\{a(i,j),\ i \leq j\}$ are independent and identically distributed random variables defined on the same probability space $(\Omega, \mathfrak{F}, \mathbb{P})$ such that

$$\mathbf{E}\{a(i,j)\} = 0, \quad \mathbf{E}\{a^2(i,j)\} := v^2, \tag{1.2}$$

where $\mathbf{E}$ is the mathematical expectation with respect to $\mathbb{P}$.

Denoting by $\lambda_{-n}^{(n)} \leq ... \leq \lambda_n^{(n)}$ the eigenvalues of A_n, the normalized eigenvalue counting function is defined by

$$\sigma_n(\lambda, A_n) := N^{-1} \sharp\{\lambda_j^{(n)} \leq \lambda\}. \tag{1.3}$$

E.Wigner [21] proved that in the case when $a(i,j)$ has all moments finite, the eigenvalue counting measure $d\sigma_n(\lambda, A_n)$ weakly converges in average as $n \to \infty$ to a distribution $d\sigma_{sc}(\lambda)$, where the nondecreasing function $\sigma_{sc}(\lambda)$ is differentiable and its derivative ρ_{sc} writes as follows

$$\rho_{sc}(\lambda) = \sigma_{sc}^{'}(\lambda) = \begin{cases} \frac{\sqrt{4v^2-\lambda^2}}{2\pi v^2} & \text{if} \quad |\lambda| \leq 2v \\ 0 & \textit{otherwise.} \end{cases} \tag{1.4}$$

This limiting distribution (1.4) is referred to as the Wigner distribution, or the semicircle law.

Since then, the convergence to the semicircle distribution was proved for various random matrix ensembles that generalize the Wigner ensemble [4, 12, 16]. Among them we cite the band and the dilute random matrix ensembles [3, 9, 14, 15, 18].

In the band random matrices model, the matrix elements take zero value outside of the band of width b_n along the principal diagonal, for some positive sequence of real numbers. This ensemble can be obtained from A_n (1.1) by multiplying each $a(i,j)$ by $I_{(-1/2,1/2)}\left((i-j)/b_n\right)$, where

$$I_A(t) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if} \quad t \in A, \\ 0 & \text{if} \quad t \in \mathbb{R} \setminus A \end{cases}$$

is the indicator function of the interval A. The ensemble of dilute random matrices can be obtained from A_n (1.1) by multiplying $a(i,j)$ by independent Bernoulli random variables

$$\widehat{d}(i,j) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{with probability} \quad p_n/n, \\ 0 & \text{with probability} \quad 1 - p_n/n, \quad 0 \le p_n \le n. \end{cases}$$

Assuming that $b(n) = o(n)$ for large n, the semicircle law is observed, after proper renormalization,for both ensembles, in the limit $b_n \to \infty$ [15] and $p_n \to \infty$ [9] as $n \to \infty$.

It should be noted that the matrix $\{\widehat{d}(i,j)\}_{i,j}$ represents the adjacency matrix of random Erdős-Rényi graphs (see [6] for definitions and more details). Random Erdős-Rényi graphs are extensively studied. Recent applications also involve the problems of spectral theory of random graphs models [7] and references therein.

Our work is motivated by papers where the random graphs of certain percolation models are considered. More precisely,we are interested in a generalization of the tow ensembles mentioned above. Roughly speaking, we consider band random matrices with a random width. To proceed, we multiply each matrix element $a(i,j)$ by $b_n^{-1/2} d(i,j)$, where $0 < b_n \le N$ is a sequence of integers and $d(i,j), \ |i|, \ |j| \le n$ is a Bernoulli random variable with

$$d(i,j) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{with probability} \quad \psi(\frac{i-j}{b_n}), \\ 0 & \text{with probability} \quad 1 - \psi(\frac{i-j}{b_n}) \end{cases} \tag{1.5}$$

and $0 \le \psi(t) \le 1$ is an even function vanishing as $t \to \infty$. We assume that $\mathcal{D}_n = \{d(i,j), \quad |i|, \ |j| \le n\}$ is a family of independent random variables, also independent from $\mathcal{A}_n = \{a(i,j), \quad |i|, \ |j| \le n\}$.

The family $\{d(i,j), \quad |i|, \ |j| \le n\}$ can be regarded as the adjacency matrix of the family of random graphs $\{\Gamma_n\}$ with $N = 2n+1$ vertices (i,j) such that the average number of edges attached to one vertex is b_n. Hence, each edge $e(i,j)$ of the graph is present with probability $\psi\left((i-j)/b\right)$ and not present with probability $1 - \psi\left((i-j)/b\right)$. Below are some well known examples :

- In theoretical physics, the ensemble $\{\Gamma_n\}$ with $\psi(t) = e^{-|t|^s}$ is referred to as the Long-Range Percolation Model (see for exemple [1] and references therein). Our ensemble can be regarded as a modification of the adjacency matrices of $\{\Gamma_n\}$. To our best knowledge, the spectral properties of this model has not been studied yet.
- It is easy to see that if one takes $b_n = N$ and $\psi \equiv 1$, then one recovers the Wigner ensemble (1.1).

- If one considers (1.5) with $\psi(t) = I_{(-1/2,1/2)}(t)$, one gets the band random matrix ensemble described before [15].

The paper is organized as follows. In section 2, we define the random matrix ensemble H_n of long-range percolation model and state our main results. In section 3, we use the cumulant expansions method to derive relations for $\mathbf{E}\{g_n(z)\}$, where $g_n(z)$ is the Stieltjes transform of the normalized eigenvalue counting measure of our random matrix ensemble. In section 4, we show that $\mathbf{Var}\{g_n(z)\}$ vanishes and prove the semicircle law for the random matrix H_n under the condition that $\sup_{i,j} \mathbf{E}\{|a(i,j)|^3\} < \infty$. In section 5, assuming more conditions on the moments of $a(i,j)$, we derive more precise and powerful estimates for the variance $\mathbf{Var}\{g_n(z)\}$.

2 The ensemble and main results

Let us consider a family of independent real random variables $\mathcal{A}_n = \{a(i,j) : |i|, |j| \leq n\}$ satisfying (1.2) and defined on the same probability space $(\Omega, \mathbb{F}, \mathbb{P})$.

Let $\psi(t)$, $t \in \mathbf{R}$, be a real continuous even function such that :

$$0 \leq \psi(t) \leq 1 \ \textit{and} \ \int_{\mathbb{R}} \psi(t) dt = 1. \tag{2.1}$$

Introduce a family of independent Bernoulli random variables $\mathcal{D}_n = \{d(i,j) : |i|, |j| \leq n\}$ as in (1.5), that is independent of the family $\mathcal{A}_n$. We assume that $\mathcal{A}_n$ and $\mathcal{D}_n$ are defined on the same probability space.

Define a real symmetric $N \times N$ random matrix H_n by :

$$H_n(i,j) = b_n^{-1/2} a(i,j) d(i,j), \quad i \leq j, \quad |i|, |j| \leq n, \tag{2.2}$$

where $0 < b_n \leq N$, $N = 2n+1$. We study asymptotic spectral properties of the ensemble $\{H_n\}$ in the limit when $n \to \infty$ with

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} b_n = \infty \quad \textit{and} \quad \lim_{n\to\infty} \frac{b_n}{n} = 0. \tag{2.3}$$

This limit (2.3) when $1 << b_n << n$ is known as the limit of relativity narrow band width.

Here and below the family $\{H_n\}$ is referred to as the ensemble of random matrices of long-range percolation model.

Let us introduce

$$\hat{\mu}_r = \sup_{i,j} \mathbf{E}\{|a(i,j)|^r\}, \ r \in \mathbb{N}, \tag{2.4}$$

the absolute moments of $a(i,j)$.

Our main result is as follows.

Theorem 2.1 *If* $\hat{\mu}_3 < \infty$ (2.4)*, the normalized eigenvalue counting function* $\sigma_n(\lambda, H_n)$ *in* (1.3) *for the ensemble given in* (2.2) *with* ψ *satisfies* (2.1)*, converges in probability in the limit* (2.3) *to a nonrandom distribution function :*

$$p - \lim_{n\to\infty} \sigma_n(\lambda, H_n) = \sigma_{sc}(\lambda), \tag{2.5}$$

where $\sigma_{sc}(\lambda)$ *is given by* (1.4).

Let $\{\mu_n\}_n$ be a sequence of probability measures that converge weakly to a probability measure μ. Let g_n and g denote the Stieltjes transforms of μ_n and μ respectively defined by

$$g_n(z) = \int \frac{\mu_n(d\lambda)}{\lambda - z};\ g(z) = \int \frac{\mu(d\lambda)}{\lambda - z}, \tag{2.6}$$

for $z \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \mathbb{R}$. Then the weak convergence of μ_n is equivalent to the convergence of the Stieltjes transform (in the uniform topology on compact sets [2]).

Let $\sigma_n(d\lambda, H_n)$ be counting measure of the eigenvalue of H_n :

$$\sigma_n(d\lambda, H_n) = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{|i|\le n} \delta_{\lambda_i}(d\lambda), \tag{2.7}$$

where δ_{λ_i} is the Dirac mass at λ_i. Then Theorem 2.1 is equivalent to the following statement.

Theorem 2.2 *Let* $g_n(z)$ *be the Stieltjes transform of the normalized eigenvalue counting measure* $\sigma_n(d\lambda, H_n)$ (1.3)*. If* $\hat{\mu}_3 < \infty$ (2.4)*, then in the limit* (2.3)*, there exists a nonrandom function* $w(z)$ *such that for all nonreal* z

$$p - lim_{n\to\infty}\ g_n(z) = w_{sc}(z), \tag{2.8}$$

where $w_{sc}(z)$ *is the Stieltjes transform of the semicircle law given by* (2.5).

Remarks

1. The limiting function $w_{sc}(z)$ is the solution of equation

$$w_{sc}(z) = \frac{1}{-z - v^2 w_{sc}(z)} \tag{2.9}$$

that maps $\mathbb{C}^+ \to \mathbb{C}^+$ when

$$\mathbb{C}^+ = \{z \in \mathbb{C} : \quad Imz > 0\}$$

and the parameter v is determined by (1.2).

2. To prove (2.8), we start by proving it for $z \in \Lambda_\eta$, where

$$\Lambda_\eta = \{z \in \mathbf{C} : \ \eta \leq |Im(z)|\} \quad with\ \eta = 2v + 1. \tag{2.10}$$

The convergence in probability (2.8) is shown in a standard way :

first we prove that $\mathbf{E}\{g_n(z)\}$ converges to $w_{sc}(z)$ (2.9) and that $\mathbf{Var}\{g_n(z)\}$ vanisfes. More precisely, we are going to prove the following statement.

Theorem 2.3 *If* $\hat{\mu}_3 < \infty$ (2.4) *and* $z \in \Lambda_\eta$ (2.10)*, then in the limit* $n \to \infty$ (2.3)*,*

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} \mathbf{E}\{g_n(z)\} = w_{sc}(z) \tag{2.11}$$

and

$$\mathbf{Var}\{g_n(z)\} = O(b_n^{-1/2}), \tag{2.12}$$

where $w_{sc}(z)$ *is given by equation* (2.9).

Theorem 2.2 follows then using Tchebychev's Inequality and gives Theorem 2.1.

To prove Theorem 2.3, we mainly follow papers [10, 8]. We apply the cumulant expansions method of [8] and get similar relations to the ones obtained in [10]. So, combining these two approaches, we develop a method to study the resolvent of H_n. It is rather general and can be used to study other random matrix ensemble with jointly independent entries.

It is not hard to show that the estimate (2.11) together with (2.12) imply convergence in probability (2.8). We give the details at the end of section 3. Then (2.8) implies convergence (2.5) (see section 3 for the proof).

Then in section 3, we give the limiting equation from $\mathbf{E}\{g_n(z)\}$. In section 4, we prove Theorem 2.3 and we deduce from this the results of Theorem 2.2 and Theorem 2.1. In section 5, we give more details on asymptotic behavior of the variance and prove auxiliary statements.

For the sake of simplicity we omit the subscript n in b_n, G_n and H_n, so that $G_n = G$ (2.12) is the resolvent of the matrix $H_n = H$ (2.2).

3 Limiting equation for $\mathbf{E}\{g_n(z)\}$

The resolvent

$$G_n(z) = (H_n - zI)^{-1}, \quad Imz \neq 0,$$

is widely exploited in the spectral theory of operators. Its normalized trace coincides with the Stieltjes transform $g_n(z)$ (2.6) of the normalized eigenvalue counting measure $\sigma_n(d\lambda, H_n)$ (1.3);

$$g_n(z) = \int \frac{\sigma_n(d\lambda, H_n)}{\lambda - z} = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{|j|\le n} \frac{1}{\lambda_j^{(n)} - z}.$$

Let us consider the resolvent identity for two hermitian matrices H and H' :

$$G - G' = G(H - H')G', \tag{3.1}$$

where $G(z) = (H - zI)^{-1}$, $G^{'}(z) = (H' - zI)^{-1}$.

Regarding (3.1) with $H = H_n$ (2.2) and $H' = 0$, we obtain relation

$$G(i,j) = \xi\delta_{ij} - \xi \sum_{|p|\le n} G(i,p)H(p,j), \quad \xi \equiv -z^{-1}, \tag{3.2}$$

where δ denotes the Kronecker symbol

$$\delta_{ij} = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if} \quad i = j \\ 0 & \text{if} \quad i \neq j, \end{cases}$$

$H(i,j) = H_n(i,j)$, $|i|, |j| \le n$ are the entries of the matrix H_n and $G(i,j)$ are the entries of the resolvent $G_n = (H_n - zI)^{-1}$.

Relation (3.2) implies that

$$\mathbf{E}G(i,i) = \xi - \xi \sum_{|p|\le n} \mathbf{E}\{G(i,p)H(p,i)\}. \tag{3.3}$$

To compute the mathematical expectation $\mathbf{E}\{G(i,p)H(p,i)\}$, we use the cumulants expansion method proposed in [8].

3.1 Cumulant expansions and principal equation

Let us start with the description of our basic technical tools.

(i). *The cumulant expansions formula.* Let us consider a family $\{X_j,\ j = 1,..,m\}$ of independent real random variables defined on the same probability space such that $\mathbf{E}\{|X_j|^{q+2}\} < \infty$ for same $q \in \mathbb{N}$ and $j = 1,..,m$. Then for any complex-valued function $F(t_1, .., t_m)$ of the class $\mathcal{C}(\mathbf{R}^m)$ and for all j, one has

$$\mathbf{E}[X_j F(X_1, .., X_m)] = \sum_{r=0}^{q} \frac{K_{r+1}}{r!} \mathbf{E}\{\frac{\partial^r F(X_1, .., X_m)}{\partial X_j^r}\} + \epsilon_q^{(j)}, \tag{3.4}$$

where K_r is the r-th cumulant of X_j [8] and the remainder ϵ_q can be estimated by the inequality

$$|\epsilon_q^{(j)}| \leq C \sup_{t \in \mathbf{R}^m} |\frac{\partial^{q+1} F(t)}{\partial t_j^{q+1}}| \mathbf{E}\{|X_j|^{q+2}\} \tag{3.5}$$

and C is a constant depending only on q.

Relations (3.4) and (3.5) can be proved by using the Taylor's formula (see e.g.[8] for example). At the end of section 5, we prove (3.4) in the case of $q = 5$ and give more details on the form of the remainder terms ϵ_q^j of (3.4).

It is known that the cumulants can be expressed in terms of the moments of X_j. If we denote $\mathbf{E}(X_j) = \mathbf{E}(X_j^3) = \mathbf{E}(X_j^5) = 0$ and $\mu_r = \mathbf{E}(X_j^r)$ with $j = 1, .., m$, one obtains : $K_1 = \mu_1 = 0$, $K_2 = \mu_2$, $K_3 = 0$, $K_4 = \mu_4 - 3\mu_2^2$, $K_5 = 0$, $K_6 = \mu_6 - 15\mu_4\mu_2 + 30\mu_2^3$, etc.

(ii). If H is a real symmetric $N \times N$ matrix with elements $H(i,j)$, $|i|, |j| \leq n$, and $G = (H - z)^{-1}(i,j)$ is its resolvent, then

$$\frac{\partial G_{st}}{\partial H_{jk}} = \begin{cases} -G_{sj}G_{kt} & \text{si} \quad j = k \\ -G_{sj}G_{kt} - G_{sk}G_{jt} & \text{si} \quad j \neq k. \end{cases} \tag{3.6}$$

The relation (3.6) follows from the resolvent identity (3.1).

Now, let us explain the main idea of the proof of relation (2.15). Applying (3.4) to the resolvent identity (3.3), one gets

$$\mathbf{E}\{G(i,p)H(p,i)\} = \frac{v^2}{b}\mathbf{E}\{\frac{\partial G(i,p)}{\partial H(p,i)}\}\psi(\frac{p-i}{b}) + \epsilon_{ip}.$$

Substituting this equality in (3.3) and using (3.6), we arrive at relation

$$\mathbf{E}G(i,i) = \xi + \frac{\xi v^2}{b} \sum_{|p| \leq n} \mathbf{E}\{G(i,i)G(p,p)\}\psi(\frac{i-p}{b}) + R_{n,b}(i),$$

where $R_{n,b}(i)$ vanishes as $n, b \to \infty$ (2.3) (see subsection 3.2 for more details).

If one assumes that the average $\mathbf{E}\{G(i,i)b^{-1}\sum_p G(p,p)\psi([p-i]/b)\}$ factorizes, then $g_{n,b}(i) = \mathbf{E}G(i,i)$ satisfies equality

$$g_{n,b}(i) = \xi + \frac{\xi v^2}{b} g_{n,b}(i) \sum_{|p| \leq n} g_{n,b}(p)\psi(\frac{p-i}{b}) + R_{n,b}(i).$$

Assuming that the value $b^{-1}\sum_p \psi([i-p]/b)g_{n,b}(p)$ does not depend on i in the limit $n, b \to \infty$, we get convergence $g_{n,b}(i) \to w(z)$, for all $|i| \leq n - bL$, where L is sufficiently large and $w(z)$ is the solution of equation (c.f.(2.9))

$$w(z) = \xi + \xi v^2 w(z)^2, \quad \xi \equiv -z^{-1}.$$

3.2 Derivation of relations for $\mathbf{E}G(i,i)$

Let us consider the average $\mathbf{E}\{G(i,p)H(p,i)\}$. For each pair (i,p), $G(i,p)$ is a smooth function of $H(p,i)$. Its derivatives are bounded because of equation (3.6) and the inequality

$$|G(i,p)| \leq ||G|| \leq \frac{1}{|Imz|}, \tag{3.7}$$

which holds for the resolvent of any real symmetric matrix. Here and below we denote by $||e||_2^2 = \sum_{|i|\leq n} |e(i)|^2$ the euclidean norm of the vector $\{e(i)\}_{|i|\leq n}$ and $||G|| = \sup_{||e||_2=1} ||Ge||_2$.

Inequality (3.7) implies that, $|D^2_{pi} G_{ip}| \leq M |Imz|^{-3}$ where M is an absolute constant. In what follows, we use the notation $D^r_{pi} = \partial^r / \partial H^r(p,i)$.

According to (2.2), (1.5) and the condition $\hat{\mu}_3 < \infty$, the third absolute moment of $H(p,i)$ is of order $b^{-3/2}$. Applying (3.4) to $\mathbf{E}\{G(i,p)H(p,i)\}$ with $q = 1$, we get relation

$$\mathbf{E}\{G(i,p)H(p,i)\} = K_1 \mathbf{E}(G(i,p)) + K_2 \mathbf{E}(D^1_{pi} G(i,p)) + \epsilon_{ip} \tag{3.8}$$

where K_r is the r-th cumulant of $H(p,i)$ and ϵ_{ip} satisfies the estimate

$$\begin{aligned} |\epsilon_{ip}| &\leq \frac{C}{b^{3/2}} \sup_{i,p} |D^2_{pi} G(i,p)| \mathbf{E}\{|a(p,i)|^3\} \mathbf{E}\{|d(p,i)|^3\} \\ &\leq \frac{CM}{b^{3/2}|Imz|^3} \mathbf{E}\{|a(p,i)|^3\} \mathbf{E}\{|d(p,i)|^3\}, \end{aligned} \tag{3.9}$$

for some constants C and M.

To compute the partial derivatives, we use (3.7). For a complex symmetric matrix G, one has $D^a_{ii} G(i,i) = (-1)^a a! G(i,i)^{a+1}$, with $a = 1, 2$ and

$$D^1_{pi} G(i,p) = -G(i,p)^2 - G(i,i)G(p,p), \tag{3.10}$$

$$D^2_{pi} G(i,p) = 2G(i,p)^3 + 6G(i,i)G(p,p)G(i,p), \tag{3.11}$$

for distinct i and p. Using formulas (3.4) and (3.5), the cumulants can be expressed in terms of the moments. Considering $X = H(p,i)$, we get

$$k_1 = \mu_1 = \mathbf{E}(X) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{b}}\mathbf{E}\{a(p,i)\}\mathbf{E}\{d(p,i)\} = 0, \tag{3.12}$$

$$K_2 = \mu_2 = \mathbf{E}(X^2) = \frac{v^2}{b}\mathbf{E}\{d(p,i)^2\} = \frac{v^2}{b}\psi(\frac{p-i}{b}). \tag{3.13}$$

Using (3.10), (3.12) and (3.13), we rewrite (3.8) in the form

$$\mathbf{E}\{G(i,p)H(p,i)\} = -\frac{v^2}{b}\mathbf{E}\{G(i,p)^2 + G(i,i)G(p,p)\}\psi(\frac{p-i}{b}) + \epsilon_{ip},\ p \neq i \tag{3.14a}$$

$$\mathbf{E}\{G(i,i)H(i,i)\} = -\frac{v^2}{b}\mathbf{E}\{G(i,i)^2\}\psi(0) + \epsilon_{ii}. \tag{3.14b}$$

Substituting (3.14) in (3.3), we obtain equality

$$\mathbf{E}G(i,i) = \xi + \frac{\xi v^2}{b}\sum_{|p|\leq n,\ p\neq i}\mathbf{E}\{G(i,p)^2\}\psi(\frac{p-i}{b})$$

$$+\frac{\xi v^2}{b}\sum_{|p|\leq n,\ p\neq i}\mathbf{E}\{G(i,i)G(p,p)\}\psi(\frac{p-i}{b}) + \frac{\xi v^2}{b}\mathbf{E}\{G(i,i)^2\}\psi(0) - \xi\sum_{|p|\leq n}\epsilon_{ip}. \tag{3.15}$$

Relations (3.9) and (3.11) imply the following estimate

$$|\epsilon_{ip}| \leq \frac{8C\hat{\mu}_3}{|Imz|^3 b^{3/2}}\psi(\frac{p-i}{b}) \tag{3.16}$$

for some constant C.

For a given a random variable, we write ζ^0 for :

$$\zeta^0 = \zeta - \mathbf{E}\zeta,$$

so that (3.15) takes the form

$$\mathbf{E}G(i,i) = \xi + \frac{\xi v^2}{b}\mathbf{E}G(i,i)\sum_{|p|\leq n}\mathbf{E}G(p,p)\psi(\frac{i-p}{b})$$

$$+\frac{1}{b}\phi_1(i) + \phi_2(i) - \xi\sum_{|p|\leq n}\epsilon_{ip}, \tag{3.17}$$

where

$$\phi_1(i) = \xi v^2 \sum_{|p|\le n} \mathbf{E}\{G(i,p)^2\}\psi(\frac{i-p}{b}) - \frac{\xi v^2}{b}\mathbf{E}\{G(i,i)^2\}\psi(0), \quad |i| \le n, \tag{3.18}$$

$$\phi_2(i) = \frac{\xi v^2}{b} \sum_{|p|\le n} \mathbf{E}\{G(i,i)G^0(p,p)\}\psi(\frac{i-p}{b}), \quad |i| \le n \tag{3.19}$$

where $G^0 = \{G(i,j) - \mathbf{E}(G(i,j))\}_{ij}$ and ϵ_{ip} is given by (3.16). We are interested in the average value of the normalized trace of the resolvent

$$g_n(z) = N^{-1} \sum_{|i|\le n} G(i,i),$$

where $G(i,i),\ |i| \le n$ are the diagonal entries of the resolvent. Taking the normalized sum of (3.15) and using the notation $g_n(z) = g_{n,b}(z)$, we obtain

$$\mathbf{E}\{g_{n,b}(z)\} = \xi + \frac{\xi v^2}{N} \sum_{|i|,|p|\le n} \mathbf{E}G(i,i)\mathbf{E}G(p,p)\frac{\psi(\frac{i-p}{b})}{b}$$

$$+\{\frac{1}{N}\sum_{|i|\le n}\frac{1}{b}\phi_1(i)\} + \{\frac{1}{N}\sum_{|i|\le n}\phi_2(i)\} - \frac{\xi}{N}\sum_{|i|,|p|\le n}\epsilon_{ip}. \tag{3.20}$$

To prove $\lim \mathbf{E}\{g_{n,b}(z)\} = w(z)$ (2.11), we need the following statement concerning the pointwise convergence in average of the diagonal entries $G(i,i) = G_{n,b}(i,i;z),\ |i| \le n$ of the resolvent. Given a positive integer L, let

$$B_L \equiv B_L(n,b) = \{i \in \mathbf{Z}\ :\ |i| \le n - bL\}. \tag{3.21}$$

Lemma 3.1 *Given $\epsilon > 0$, there exists a positive integer $L = L(\epsilon)$ such that*

$$\sup_{i\in B_L} |\mathbf{E}\{G_{n,b}(i,i;z)\} - w(z)| \le \epsilon,\ z \in \Lambda_\eta \tag{3.22}$$

for sufficiently large b, n satisfying (2.3).

Lemma 3.1 will be proved in the next subsection. Let us assume that (3.22) is true. Then regarding definition of B_L, we deduce from inequality (3.7) that

$$|\mathbf{E}\{g_{n,b}(z)\} - \frac{1}{N}\sum_{i\in B_L}\mathbf{E}G(i,i)| = |\frac{1}{N}\sum_{i=-n}^{-n+bL-1}\mathbf{E}G(i,i) + \frac{1}{N}\sum_{i=n-bL+1}^{n}\mathbf{E}G(i,i)|$$

$$\leq \frac{2bL-2}{N|Imz|}, \quad z \in \Lambda_\eta. \tag{3.23}$$

Now (2.11) follows from Lemma 3.1, inequality (3.23) and the limiting condition (2.3). $\diamond$

3.3 Proof of Lemma 3.1

Let us have a step back and rewrite (3.17) as

$$\mathbf{E}G(i,i) = \xi + \xi v^2 \mathbf{E}G(i,i)\mathbf{E}U_G(i) + \frac{1}{b}\phi_1(i) + \phi_2(i) - \xi \sum_{|p|\leq n} \epsilon_{ip}, \tag{3.24}$$

with

$$U_G(i) = \frac{1}{b}\sum_{|p|\leq n} G(p,p)\psi(\frac{i-p}{b}),$$

where ϕ_1, ϕ_2 and ϵ_{ip} given by relations (3.18), (3.19) and (3.16). Let us denote the average $\mathbf{E}G(i,i)$ by $g(i)$ and rewrite (3.24) in the following form :

$$g(i) = \xi + \xi v^2 g(i) U_g(i) + \frac{1}{b}\phi_1(i) + \phi_2(i) - \xi \sum_{|p|\leq n} \epsilon_{ip}. \tag{3.25}$$

Let us consider the solution $\{r(i),\ |i| \leq n\}$ of equation

$$r(i) = \xi + \xi v^2 r(i) U_r(i), \quad |i| \leq n. \tag{3.26}$$

Given $z \in \Lambda_\eta$, one can prove that the system of equation (3.26) is uniquely solvable in the set of N-dimensional vectors $\{\overrightarrow{r}\}$ such that

$$||\overrightarrow{r}|| = sup_{|i|\leq n}|r(i)| \leq \frac{2}{|Im(z)|}. \tag{3.27}$$

(see Lemma 6.1 of [10]). Certainly, $r(i)$ and $g(i)$ (3.25) depend on particular values of z, n and b, so we shall use the notations $r(i) = r_{n,b}(i;z)$ and $g(i) = g_{n,b}(i;z)$. The following statements concern the differences :

$$D_{n,b}(i;z) = g_{n,b}(i;z) - r_{n,b}(i;z), \quad d_{n,b}(i;z) = r_{n,b}(i;z) - w(z),$$

where $w(z)$ verifies equation (2.9).

Lemma 3.2 *Given $\epsilon > 0$, there exists a positive integer $L = L(\epsilon)$ such that for all sufficiently large b and n satisfying* (2.3), *inequality*

$$\sup_{i \in B_L} |d_{n,b}(i; z)| \leq \epsilon, \quad z \in \Lambda_\eta, \tag{3.28}$$

holds, with B_L given by (3.21).

Lemma 3.3 *If $z \in \Lambda_\eta$ and* (2.3) *holds, then*

$$\sup_{|p| \leq n} |D_{n,b}(i, z)| = o(1), \quad n, b \longrightarrow \infty, \tag{3.29}$$

Lemma 3.1 follows from (3.28) and (3.29). Under the same conditions of Lemma 3.1, one can find $L^{'} \geq L$ such that

$$\sup_{i \in B_{L^{'}}} |\frac{\xi}{1 - \xi v^2 U_g(i)} - w(z)| \leq 2\epsilon. \tag{3.30}$$

Relation (3.30) follows from (3.22) and (3.27), a priori estimate

$$\sup_{|i| \leq n} |g(i)| \leq \frac{1}{|Imz|}, \tag{3.31}$$

and the observation that $L^{'}$ has to satisfy condition $\psi(L - L^{'}) \leq \epsilon$.

Proof of Lemma 3.2. Let us consider function $w_i(z) = w(z)$ satisfying (2.9) that we rewrite in the following form similar to (3.26) :

$$w_i(z) = \xi + \xi v^2 w_i(z) \frac{1}{b} \sum_{t=1}^{n} b\delta_{it} w_t(z), \quad |i| \leq n.$$

Subtracting this equality from (3.26) , we see that $d(i) \equiv d_{n,b}(i; z)$ is given by relation

$$d(i) = \xi v^2 d(i) U_r(i) + \xi v^2 w(z) U_d(i) + \xi v^2 w^2(z)[P_b + T(i)], \tag{3.32}$$

where

$$P_b = \frac{1}{b} \sum_{t \in \mathbb{Z}} \psi(\frac{t}{b}) - \int_{\mathbf{R}} \psi(s) ds \tag{3.33}$$

and

$$T_{n,b}(i) \equiv T(i) = \frac{1}{b} \sum_{|t| \leq n} \psi(\frac{t - i}{b}) - \frac{1}{b} \sum_{t \in \mathbb{Z}} \psi(\frac{t}{b}). \tag{3.34}$$

It is clear that $|P_b| = o(1)$ as $b \to \infty$. Indeed, one can choose an even step-like function $\psi_d(t)$, $t \in \mathbb{R}$ such that

$$\psi_d(t) = \sum_{k\in\mathbb{N}} \psi(\frac{k}{b}) I_{(\frac{k-1}{b},\frac{k}{b})}(t), \quad t \geq 0.$$

Then $\psi_d(t) \leq \psi(t)$, $\psi_d(t) \to \psi(t)$ as $b \to \infty$ and the Beppo-Lévy Theorem implies the convergence of the corresponding integrals of (3.33).

Using equality

$$r(i) = \frac{\xi}{1 - v^2 \xi U_r(i)},$$

we obtain relation

$$d(i) = v^2 w r(i) U_d(i) + v^2 w^2 r(i)[P_b + T_{n,b}(i)], \ |i| \leq n, \tag{3.35}$$

where we write $w = w(z)$. This relation, together with estimates (3.27) and $|w(z)| \leq |Imz|^{-1}$, implies that $|d(i)| \leq 2|Imz|^{-1} < 2$.

Given $\epsilon > 0$, let us find a number Q that $2\int_Q^\infty \psi(t)dt < \epsilon$. Setting $\tau := v^2\eta^{-2} < 1/4$, we derive from (3.35) such

$$\sup_{i\in B_L} |d(i)| \leq \tau[\sup_{i\in B_{L-Q}} |d(i)| + \sup_{i\in B_L} |T_{n,b}(i)| + P_b + 2\epsilon + \frac{2}{b}],$$

where we used the condition (2.1) and the estimate

$$\frac{1}{b} \sum_{s:|s-i|>Qb} |d(s)|\psi(\frac{s-i}{b}) \leq 4\int_Q^\infty \psi(t)dt + \frac{2}{b}$$

that follows from the monotonicity of $\psi(t)$. Now, it is easy to conclude that

$$\sup_{i\in B_L} |d(i)| \leq \sum_{0\leq j\leq L/Q} \tau^j [\sup_{i\in B_{L-jQ}} |T_{n,b}(i)| + P_b] + \tau^{L/Q} \sup_{|i|\leq n} |d(i)| + 4\epsilon + \frac{4}{b}. \tag{3.36}$$

Let us choose such M that $\tau^M < \epsilon$, then

$$\sum_{0\leq j\leq L/Q} \tau^j \sup_{i\in B_{L-jQ}} |T_{n,b}(i)| \leq \sup_{i\in B_{L-MQ}} |T_{n,b}(i)| + 2\epsilon.$$

Finally, observe that

$$\sup_{i\in B_{L-MQ}} |T_{n,b}(i)| \leq \frac{2}{b} \sum_{t=n-(L-MQ)b}^{\infty} \psi(\frac{t}{b}) \leq 2\int_{n/b-L+MQ}^\infty \psi(s)ds + \frac{1}{b}.$$

This inequality shows that (3.28) holds for sufficiently large L and $1 << b << n$. $\diamond$

Proof of Lemma 3.3. Subtracting (3.26) from (3.25), we obtain relation for $D(i) = D_{n,b}(i,z)$,

$$D(i) = \xi v^2 g(i) U_D(i) + \xi v^2 D(i) U_r(i) + \frac{1}{b}\phi_1(i) + \phi_2(i) - \xi \sum_{|p|\le n} \epsilon_{ip}.$$

This relation can be written as

$$\vec{D} = [I - W^{(g,r)}]^{-1} \vec{\theta},$$

where we denote by $W^{(g,r)}$ a linear operator acting on the vectors $e = (e_s)_{-n\le s\le n}$ as

$$[W^{(g,r)} e](i) = v^2 g(i) r(i) \sum_{|s|\le n} e(s) u(i,s)$$

and

$$\vec{\theta}^{(r)}_{n,b}(i) = \frac{r(i)}{\xi} \{ \frac{1}{b}\phi_1(i) + \phi_2(i) - \xi \sum_{p=1}^{n} \epsilon_{ip} \}.$$

It is easy to see that if $z \in \Lambda_\eta$, then the estimates (3.27) and (3.31) imply

$$||W^{(g,r)}|| \le \frac{v^2}{|Imz|^2} < \frac{1}{2}. \tag{3.37}$$

Let us accept for the moment that

$$\sup_{|i|\le n} |\frac{r(i)}{\xi} \{ \frac{1}{b}\phi_1(i) + \phi_2(i) - \xi \sum_{|p|\le n} \epsilon_{ip} \}| = o(1), \quad z \in \Lambda_\eta \tag{3.38}$$

in the limit $n, b \to \infty$. Then, Lemma 3.3 follows from (3.37) and estimate (3.38).

Now, let us prove (3.38). Using inequality (3.16), we obtain the first estimate concerning ϵ_{ip},

$$|\sum_{|p|\le n} \epsilon_{ip}| \le \frac{8c\hat{\mu}_3}{|Imz|^3 \sqrt{b}} \sum_{|p|\le n} \frac{\psi(\frac{i-p}{b})}{b} = O(\frac{1}{\sqrt{b}}), \tag{3.39}$$

where we used condition (2.1) and

$$\sum_{|p|\le n} \frac{\psi(\frac{i-p}{b})}{b} \le \sum_{t\in\mathbb{Z}} \frac{\psi(t)}{b} \le 2\int_0^\infty \psi(t) dt + \frac{\psi(0)}{b}.$$

The term ϕ_1 in (3.18) is estimated with the help of the elementary inequality

$$\sum_{|p|\le n} |G(i,p)|^2 = ||G\vec{e}_i||^2 \le \frac{1}{|Imz|^2} \tag{3.40}$$

which follows from (3.7) and the inequality $||G^2(z)|| \le |Imz|^{-2}$. Then, (3.40) implies

$$|\frac{1}{b}\phi_1(i)| \le |\frac{\xi v^2}{b}\mathbf{E}(\sum_{|p|\le n} G(i,p)^2)| + |\frac{\xi v^2}{b}\mathbf{E}\{G(i,p)^2\}\psi(0)| \le \frac{2v^2}{|Imz|^3 b}. \tag{3.41}$$

Using relations (2.1), (3.7) and identity

$$\mathbf{E}\{fg^0\} = \mathbf{E}\{f^0 g^0\},$$

we obtain that

$$|\phi_2(i)| = |\frac{\xi v^2}{b}\sum_{|p|\le n}\mathbf{E}\{G(i,i)G^0(p,p)\}\psi(\frac{i-p}{b})| \le \frac{v^2}{|Imz|}\mathbf{E}|G^0(i,i)U_G^0(i)|. \tag{3.42}$$

Thus, to prove (3.29), it is sufficient to prove inequalities (3.39), (3.41), (3.42) and the estimate

$$\sup_{|i|\le n}\mathbf{E}|G^0(i,i)U_G^0(i)| = o(1), \quad z\in\Lambda_\eta. \tag{3.43}$$

We prove estimate (3.43) in section 4. Assuming that this is done, one can say that Lemma 3.1 and relation (2.11) are proved.

4 Proof of the main Theorems

In this section, we study the variance $\mathbf{Var}\{g_{n,b}(z)\}$ and complete the proof of Theorem 2.3. Finally, we prove Theorem 2.2 and Theorem 2.1.

4.1 The variance and the proof of Theorem 2.1

In section 3, we proved relation (2.11) assuming that the asymptotic relation (3.43) holds. To complete the proof of Theorem 2.3, we follow mainly two steps. The first step is to remark that the estimate (3.43) and (2.12) are consequences of the fact that the variance of the diagonal entries $G(i,i;z)$

$$\mathbf{Var}\{G(i,i;z)\} = \mathbf{E}|G^0(i,i;z)|^2$$

vanishes as $n, b \to \infty$. The second step is to prove the following two relations that concern the moments of diagonal elements of G.

Lemma 4.1. *If $z \in \Lambda_\eta$ (2.10) and $\hat{\mu}_3 < \infty$ (2.4), then the estimates*

$$\sup_{|i| \le n} \mathbf{E}|U_G^0(i)|^2 = o(b^{-3/2}) \tag{4.1}$$

and

$$\sup_{|i| \le n} \mathbf{E}|G^0(i, i; z)|^2 = o(b^{-1/2}), \tag{4.2}$$

are true in the limit $n, b \to \infty$.

It it easy to show that estimate (3.43) follows from (4.2). Finally, (2.12) follows from (4.2) and inequalities

$$\mathbf{Var}\{g_n(z)\} = \mathbf{E}|g_n(z) - \mathbf{E}(g_n(z))|^2 \le \mathbf{E}\{\frac{1}{N^2} \sum_{|s|,|p| \le n} |G^0(p,p)G^0(s,s)|\}$$

$$\le \frac{1}{N^2} \sum_{|s|,|p| \le n} \sqrt{\mathbf{E}(|G^0(p,p)|^2)}\sqrt{\mathbf{E}(|G^0(s,s)|^2)} \le \sup_{|p| \le n} \mathbf{E}(|G^0(p,p)|^2). \tag{4.3}$$

Theorem 2.3 is proved. ⋄

We close this subsection with the proof of Lemma 4.1.

Proof of Lemma 4.1. Let us denote $G_1 = (H - z_1)^{-1}$, $G_2 = (H - z_2)^{-1}$ with $z_j \in \Lambda_\eta,\ j = 1, 2$. Regarding the average $\mathbf{E}\{G_1^0(i,i)G_2(p,p)\}$, we apply to G_2 the resolvent identity and we use (3.2), to get

$$\mathbf{E}\{G_1^0(i,i)G_2(p,p)\} = -\xi_2 \sum_{|s| \le n} \mathbf{E}\{G_1^0(i,i)G_2(p,s)\}.$$

For each pair (s,p), expression $G_1^0(i,i)G_2(p,s)$ represents a smooth function of $H(s,p)$ and its derivative is bounded because of (3.6) and (3.7). In particular $|D_{sp}^2[G_1^0(i,i)G_2(p,s)]| \le c_1(|Imz_1|^{-1} + |Imz_2|^{-1})^4$ where c_1 is a constant and $D_{sp}^r = \partial^r/\partial H(s,p)^r$.

According to (2.2), (1.5) and condition $\hat{\mu}_3 < \infty$ (2.4), the third absolute moment of $H(s,p)$ is of the order $b^{-3/2}$. Thus applying (3.4) to $\mathbf{E}\{G_1^0(i,i)G_2(p,s)\}$ with $q = 1$, one obtains

$$\mathbf{E}G_1^0(i,i)G_2(p,p) = \xi_2 v^2 \mathbf{E}\{G_1^0(i,i)G_2(p,p)U_{G_2}(p)\} + \xi_2 v^2 \sum_{|s| \le n} \mathbf{E}\{G_1^0(i,i)G_2(p,s)^2 u(s,p)\}$$

$$+2\xi_2 v^2 \sum_{|s|\le n} \mathbf{E}\{G_1(i,s)G_1(p,i)G_2(p,s)u(p,s)\} - \frac{\xi_2 v^2}{b}\mathbf{E}\{G_1^0(i,i)G_2(p,p)^2$$

$$+G_1(i,p)^2 G_2(p,p)\}\psi(0) - \xi_2 \sum_{|s|\le n} \tau_{sp},$$

where

$$|\tau_{sp}| \le c\{\sup|D^2_{sp}[G_1^0(i,i)G_2(p,s)]|\} b^{-3/2}\mathbf{E}(|a(p,s)|^3)\mathbf{E}(d(p,s)^3) \qquad (4.4)$$

with a constant c,

$$u(s,p) = \frac{\psi(\frac{s-p}{b})}{b} \quad and \quad U_G(p) = \sum_{|s|\le n} G(s,s)u(s,p).$$

Let us introduce variables

$$q_2(p) = \frac{\xi_2}{1-\xi_2 v^2 U_{\mathbf{E}(G_2)}(p)}, \; |p| \le n. \qquad (4.5)$$

Using (4.5) and identity

$$\mathbf{E}\{fg\} = \mathbf{E}\{fg^0\} + \mathbf{E}\{f\}\mathbf{E}\{g\}, \qquad (4.6)$$

we get equality

$$\mathbf{E}G_1^0(i,i)G_2(p,p) = q_2(p)v^2\mathbf{E}\{G_1^0(i,i)G_2(p,p)U^0_{G_2}(p)\}$$

$$+q_2(p)v^2 \sum_{|s|\le n} \mathbf{E}\{G_1^0(i,i)G_2(p,s)^2 u(s,p)\}$$

$$+2q_2(p)v^2 \sum_{|s|\le n} \mathbf{E}\{G_1(i,s)G_1(p,i)G_2(p,s)u(p,s)\}$$

$$-\frac{q_2(p)v^2}{b}\mathbf{E}\{G_1^0(i,i)G_2(p,p)^2 + G_1(i,p)^2 G_2(p,p)\}\psi(0) - q_2(p)\sum_{|s|\le n}\tau_{sp}. \qquad (4.7)$$

Multiplying (4.7) by $u(i,t)$ and summing over i, we get relation

$$\mathbf{E}U^0_{G_1}(t)G_2(p,p) = q_2(p)v^2\mathbf{E}\{U^0_{G_1}(t)G_2(p,p)U^0_{G_2}(p)\}$$

$$+q_2(p)v^2 \sum_{|s|\le n} \mathbf{E}\{U^0_{G_1}(t)G_2(p,s)^2 u(s,p)\}$$

$$+2q_2(p)v^2 \sum_{i,s} \mathbf{E}\{G_1(i,s)G_1(p,i)G_2(p,s)u(p,s)u(i,t)\}$$

$$-\frac{q_2(p)v^2}{b}\mathbf{E}\{U^0_{G_1}(t)G_2(p,p)^2\}\psi(0)-\frac{q_2(p)v^2}{b}\mathbf{E}\{G_2(p,p)\sum_{|i|\le n}G_1(i,p)^2u(i,t)\}\psi(0)$$

$$-\sum_{i,s}q_2(p)\tau_{sp}u(i,t). \tag{4.8}$$

Regarding $G_1(p,.)u(.,t)$ and $G_2(.,s)u(s,.)$ as $n-$dimensional vectors, one gets

$$|\sum_{i,s}\mathbf{E}\{G_1(i,s)G_1(p,i)G_2(p,s)\}u(p,s)u(i,t)|$$

$$\le ||G_1||\sqrt{\sum_{|i|\le n}|G_1(p,i)u(i,t)|^2}\sqrt{\sum_{|s|\le n}|G_2(p,s)u(s,p)|^2}. \tag{4.9}$$

Inequalities (3.7) and (3.40) imply that the right-hand side of (4.9) is bounded by $b^{-2}\eta^{-3}$. Regarding the last term of (4.8) and using (4.4) and (3.7), we get

$$|\sum_{|i|,|s|\le n}\tau_{sp}u(i,t)|\le\frac{cc_1}{16\eta^4\sqrt{b}}\hat{\mu}_3[\sum_{|i|\le n}u(i,t)][\sum_{|s|\le n}u(p,s)]=O(\frac{1}{\sqrt{b}}). \tag{4.10}$$

The first term of the right-hand side of (4.8) can be estimated by

$$|q_2(p)v^2\mathbf{E}\{U^0_{G_1}(t)G_2(p,p)U^0_{G_2}(p)\}|\le\frac{v^2}{|Imz_2|^2}\sqrt{\sup_{|i|\le n}\mathbf{E}|U^0_{G_1}(i)|^2}\sqrt{\sup_{|i|\le n}\mathbf{E}|U^0_{G_2}(i)|^2}, \tag{4.11}$$

where we used estimates (3.7) and

$$|q_2(p)|\le\frac{1}{|Imz_2|}. \tag{4.12}$$

Also, we use (3.40) and (4.12) to see that

$$|q_2(p)v^2\sum_{|s|\le n}\mathbf{E}\{U^0_{G_1}(t)G_2(p,s)^2u(s,p)\}|\le\frac{v^2}{b|Imz_2|^3}\sqrt{\sup_{|i|\le n}\{\mathbf{E}|U^0_{G_1}(i)|^2\}} \tag{4.13}$$

and

$$|\frac{q_2(p)v^2}{b}\mathbf{E}\{U^0_{G_1}(t)G_2(p,p)^2\}\psi(0)+\frac{q_2(p)v^2}{b}\mathbf{E}\{G_2(p,p)\sum_{|i|\le n}G_1(i,p)^2u(i,t)\}\psi(0)|$$

$$\le\frac{v^2}{b|Imz_2|^3}\sqrt{\sup_{|i|\le n}\{\mathbf{E}|U^0_{G_1}(i)|^2\}}+\frac{v^2}{b^2|Imz_1|^2|Imz_2|^2}. \tag{4.14}$$

Now, multiplying (4.8) by $u(p,r)$ and summing over p, and taking $G_1 = \bar{G}_2$ and $i = r$, we obtain a relation that together with (4.9), (4.10), (4.11), (4.13) and (4.14) implies the following estimate for the variable $M_{12} = \sup_{|i|\le n}\{\mathbf{E}|U^0_{G_1}(i)|^2\}$:

$$M_{12} \le \frac{v^2}{|Imz_2|^2} M_{12} + \frac{A_1}{b}\sqrt{M_{12}} + \frac{A_2}{\sqrt{b}},$$

with A_1 and A_2 are constants. Regarding this inequality, it is easy to show that $M_{12} = O(b^{-3/2})$. This proves (4.1).

In order to prove (4.2), we go back to relation (4.7) with $G_1 = \bar{G}_2$, $p = i$. Applying (3.7) and (4.12), we obtain estimates

$$\sup_{|i|\le n} |G^0_1(i,i)|^2 \le \frac{v^2}{\eta^3}\sqrt{\mathbf{E}(|U^0_{G_1}(i)|^2)} + \frac{v^2}{\eta^2 b}\sum_{|s|\le n} |G_1(i,s)|^2$$

$$+\frac{2v^2}{\eta^2 b}\sum_{|s|\le n} |G_1(i,s)|^2 + \frac{3v^2}{\eta^4 b} + \frac{1}{\eta}\sum_{|s|\le n} |\tau_{si}|, \tag{4.15}$$

with $\eta = 2v + 1$. Regarding the last term of (4.15) and (4.4), we obtain inequality

$$\sum_{|s|\le n} |\tau_{si}| \le \frac{c_2}{\sqrt{b}}\sum_{|s|\le n} u(s,i) = O(\frac{1}{\sqrt{b}}), \tag{4.16}$$

where c_2 is a constant. Applying (3.40), (4.1) and (4.16) to inequality (4.15), we obtain (4.2) and we are done. ⋄

4.2 Proof of Theorem 2.2 and Theorem 2.1

Proof of Theorem 2.2. It is easy to show that relation (2.11) together with (2.12) implies convergence in probability :

$$P - \lim_{n\to\infty} g_n(z) = w(z), \quad z \in \Lambda_\eta.$$

By definition (2.6), we rewrite this convergence in the form

$$\{\int_{\mathbf{R}} \frac{d\sigma_n(\lambda, H_n)}{\lambda - z}\}_{n\to\infty} \xrightarrow{P} \{\int_{\mathbf{R}} \frac{d\sigma(\lambda)}{\lambda - z}\}, \; z \in \Lambda_\eta. \tag{4.17}$$

Now we will prove that (4.17) is true for all non real z. Representation (4.17) for $g_n(z)$ and $w(z)$ implies that the function $z \mapsto g_n(z) - w(z)$ is analytic and uniformly bounded on any compact set $\Gamma \subset \Lambda_\eta$. Thus, given $\epsilon > 0$, there exists a finite set $z_1, ..., z_k \in \Gamma$ such that

$$\max_{z\in\Gamma} |g_n(z) - w(z)| \leq \epsilon + \max_{j=1,..,k} |g_n(z_j) - w(z_j)|.$$

Let $\{n_1\}$ a subsequence from $\{n\}$. We have $g_{n_1}(z_1) \xrightarrow{P} w(z_1)$, then there exists a subsequence $\{n_1^{'}\} \subseteq \{n_1\}$ such that $g_{n_1^{'}}(z_1) \xrightarrow{a.s.} w(z_1)$. Therefore, the sequence $\{n_1^{'}\}$ contains a subsequence $\{n_2^{'}\}$ where $g_{n_2^{'}}(z_2) \xrightarrow{a.s.} w(z_2)$ and $g_{n_2^{'}}(z_1) \xrightarrow{a.s.} w(z_1)$. Now we see that there exist a subsequence $n^{'} = n_k^{'} \subseteq ... \subseteq n_1^{'}$ common to all $z_1, ..., z_k$ such that

$$\max_{j=1,..,k} |g_{n'}(z_j) - w(z_j)| \xrightarrow{a.s.} 0.$$

As a result, we get consequence

$$\lim_{n'\to+\infty} \{\max_{z\in\Gamma} |g_{n'}(z) - w(z)|\} = 0 \quad a.s..$$

Now we obtain $g_{n'}(z) \xrightarrow{a.s.} w(z)$ with $\{n^{'}\}$ is common for all $z \in \Gamma$. Since $g_{n'}(z)$ and $w(z)$ are analytic functions in $\mathbb{C} \setminus \mathbb{R}$, and $g_{n'}(z) \xrightarrow{a.s.} w(z)$ for $z \in \Gamma$, then this convergence is true for all $z \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \mathbb{R}$. Thus, we rewrite (4.16) as

$$\{\int_{\mathbf{R}} \frac{d\sigma_{n',b'}(\lambda, H_{n'})}{\lambda - z}\}_{n',b'\to+\infty} \xrightarrow{a.s.} \{\int_{\mathbf{R}} \frac{d\sigma(\lambda)}{\lambda - z}\}, \quad z \in \Lambda_0. \tag{4.18}$$

The sequence $\{n_1\}$ contains a subsequence $\{n^{'}\}$ such that (4.18) holds, which implies convergence in probability (2.9) (see Lemma in paper [11]). ⋄

Proof of Theorem 2.1. It is well known that the set of linear combinations of $\{\frac{1}{\lambda - z_j};\ z_j \in \Lambda_0\}$ is dense in $C_0(\mathbf{R})$ (set of counting functions), then (4.18) implies that

$$\{\int_{\mathbf{R}} \varphi(\lambda) d\sigma_{n'}(\lambda, H_{n'})\}_{n'\to\infty} \xrightarrow{a.s.} \{\int_{\mathbf{R}} \varphi(\lambda) d\sigma(\lambda)\} \tag{4.19}$$

for all $\varphi \in C_0(\mathbf{R})$.

The sequence $\{n_1\}$ contains a subsequence as $\{n^{'}\}$ such that the convergence (4.19) is true. We use the same arguments as in the proof of Theorem 2.2, the convergence in probability (2.5) gives and finishes the proof of Theorem 2.1. ⋄

5 Estimates of the Variance

In this section, we obtain more estimates of the variance under more restrictive conditions on the moments of $a(i,p)$ and the function $\psi(t)$ given by (2.1). Finally, we provide a proof of the cumulant expansions formula.

5.1 First Estimate

Theorem 5.1. *Assume that* $\mathbf{E}\{a^{2l+1}(i,p)\} = 0$ *with* $l = 0,1,2$ *and*

$$\mathbf{E}\{a(i,p)^2\} = v^2, \ \mathbf{E}\{a(i,p)^4\} = 3v^4, \ \mathbf{E}\{a(i,p)^6\} = 15v^6, \quad |i|, |p| \leq n,$$

$\hat{\mu}_7 < \infty$ (2.4)*, then the estimate*

$$\mathbf{Var}\{g_{n,b}(z)\} = O(\frac{1}{b}) \tag{5.1}$$

holds for large enough n *and* b *and for all* $z \in \Lambda_\eta$.

Proof of Theorem 5.1. We prove Theorem 5.1 by using the following estimates of the moments of the diagonal elements of the resolvent G

Lemma 5.1. *Under conditions of Theorem 5.1, the estimates*

$$\sup_{|i|\leq n} \mathbf{E}(|U_G^0(i;z)|^2) = O(\frac{1}{b^2}) \tag{5.2}$$

and

$$\sup_{|i|\leq n} \mathbf{E}(|G(i,i;z)|^2) = O(\frac{1}{b}) \tag{5.3}$$

hold in the limit $n, b \longrightarrow \infty$ *and for all* $z \in \Lambda_\eta$.

Now it is easy to show that Theorem 5.1 follows from inequality (4.3) and estimate (5.3).

Proof of Lemma 5.1. We start with (5.2). Let us denote $G_1 = (H - z_1)^{-1}$, $G_2 = (H - z_2)^{-1}$ with $z_j \in \Lambda_\eta, \ j = 1,2$. Consider the average $\mathbf{E}U_{G_1}^0(t)G_2(p,p)$ and use (3.2) to obtain

$$\mathbf{E}U_{G_1}^0(t)G_2(p,p) = -\xi \sum_{s=1}^{n} \mathbf{E}\{U_{G_1}^0(t)G_2(p,s)\}.$$

For each pair (s,p) $U^0_{G_1}(t)G_2(p,s)$ is a smooth function of $H(s,p)$ and its derivative is bounded because of (3.7). In particular $|D^6_{sp}[U^0_{G_1}(t)G_2(p,s)]| \le c_3(|Imz_1|^{-1}+|Imz_2|^{-1})^8$ for some constant c_3 and $D^r_{sp} = \partial^r/\partial H^r(s,p)$.

According to (2.2), (1.5) and condition $\hat{\mu}_7 < \infty$ (2.4), the 7-th absolute moment of $H(s,p)$ is of order $b^{-7/2}$. Thus, applying (3.4) to $\mathbf{E}U^0_{G_1}(t)G_2(p,s)$ with $q=5$, one obtains

$$\mathbf{E}\{U^0_{G_1}(t)G_2(p,p)\} = -\xi_2 \sum_{|s|\le n} K_2 \mathbf{E}\{D^1_{sp}[U^0_{G_1}(t)G_2(p,s)]\}$$

$$-\xi_2 \sum_{|s|\le n} \frac{K_4}{6}\mathbf{E}\{D^3_{sp}[U^0_{G_1}(t)G_2(p,s)]\} - \xi_2 \sum_{|s|\le n} \frac{K_6}{120}\mathbf{E}\{D^5_{sp}[U^0_{G_1}(t)G_2(p,s)]\}$$

$$-\xi_2 \sum_{|s|\le n} \epsilon^{(1)}_{sp}$$

where

$$|\epsilon^{(1)}_{sp}| \le c \sup |D^6_{sp}[U^0_{G_1}(t)G_2(p,s)]| b^{-7/2}\mathbf{E}(|a_{sp}|^7)\mathbf{E}(d^7_{sp}). \tag{5.4}$$

Regarding the terms involving the first derivative and using (3.6), the definition of K_2 (3.13), we get

$$\mathbf{E}\{U^0_{G_1}(t)G_2(p,p)\} = \xi_2 v^2 \mathbf{E}\{U^0_{G_1}(t)G_2(p,p)U_{G_2}(p)\}$$

$$+\xi_2 v^2 \sum_{|s|\le n} \mathbf{E}\{U^0_{G_1}(t)G_2(p,s)^2\}u(p,s)$$

$$+2\xi_2 v^2 \sum_{s,i} \mathbf{E}\{G_1(i,s)G_1(p,i)G_2(p,s)\}u(p,s)u(i,t) + R(p),$$

where

$$R(p) = -\frac{\xi_2 v^2}{b}\mathbf{E}\{U^0_{G_1}(t)G_2(p,p)^2 + \sum_{|i|\le n} G_1(i,p)^2 G_2(p,p)u(i,t)\}\psi(0)$$

$$-\xi_2 \sum_{|s|\le n} \frac{K_4}{6}\mathbf{E}\{D^3_{sp}[U^0_{G_1}(t)G_2(p,s)]\} - \xi_2 \sum_{|s|\le n} \frac{K_6}{120}\mathbf{E}\{D^5_{sp}[U^0_{G_1}(t)G_2(p,s)]\}$$

$$-\xi_2 \sum_{|s|\le n} \epsilon^{(1)}_{sp}.$$

This term does not contribute to the estimate (5.1).

Applying (4.6) to the first term and using the definition of $q_2(p)$ (4.5), one gets

$$\mathbf{E}\{U^0_{G_1}(t)G_2(p,p)\} = q_2(p)v^2\mathbf{E}\{U^0_{G_1}(t)G_2(p,p)U^o_{G_2}(p)\}$$
$$+q_2(p)v^2\sum_{|s|\le n}\mathbf{E}\{U^0_{G_1}(t)G_2(p,s)^2u(s,p)\}$$
$$+2q_2(p)v^2\sum_{s,i}\mathbf{E}\{G_1(i,s)G_1(p,i)G_2(p,s)u(p,s)u(i,t)\} + \frac{q_2(p)}{\xi_2}R(p). \quad (5.5)$$

Inequality (4.9) implies that the third term of (5.5) is of order $O(b^{-2})$ as $n, b \longrightarrow \infty$.

Let us estimate each part of (5.5) with the help of U^0_G. Using (3.40) and inequality (4.12), we can estimate the first term of the right-hand side of (5.5) by

$$|q_2(p)v^2\mathbf{E}\{U^0_{G_1}(t)G_2(p,p)U^0_{G_2}(p)\}| \le \frac{v^2}{|Imz_2|^2}\sqrt{\sup_{|i|\le n}\mathbf{E}|U^0_{G_1}(i)|^2\sup_{|i|\le n}\mathbf{E}|U^0_{G_2}(i)|^2}. \quad (5.6)$$

Also we can write that

$$|q_2(p)v^2\sum_{|s|\le n}\mathbf{E}\{U^0_{G_1}(t)G_2(p,s)^2u(s,p)\}| \le \frac{v^2}{b|Imz_2|^3}\sqrt{\sup_{|i|\le n}\{\mathbf{E}|U^0_{G_1}(i)|^2\}}. \quad (5.7)$$

If one assumes for a while that

$$\sup_{|p|\le n}|R(p)| = O\{\frac{1}{b^2}+\frac{1}{b}\mathbf{E}(|U^0_{G_1}(t)|)\}, \quad (5.8)$$

holds, then (5.2) will follow. Indeed, multiplying (5.5) by $u(p,r)$ and summing over p, and taking $G_1 = \bar{G}_2$ and $i = r$, we obtain a relation that together with (4.9), (5.6), (5.7) and (5.8) imply the following estimate for variable $M_{12} = \sup_{|t|\le n}|U^0_{G_1}(t)|^2$:

$$M_{12} \le \frac{v^2}{\eta^2}M_{12} + \frac{A_3}{b}\sqrt{M_{12}} + \frac{A_4}{b^2},$$

with A_3 and A_4 are constants. Regarding this inequality, it is easy to show that $M_{12} = O(b^{-2})$. This implies (5.2).

Let us prove (5.8). Using inequality (5.4), we get

$$|\sum_{|s|\le n}\epsilon^{(1)}_{sp}| \le \frac{cc_3}{(2\eta)^8 b^{5/2}}\sum_{|s|\le n}u(s,p) = O(\frac{1}{b^{5/2}}), \quad (5.9)$$

for some constants c and c_3. By the definition of the cumulants (see subsection 3.1), we obtain

$$K_4(Y) = \mathbf{E}(Y^4) - 3\mathbf{E}(Y^2)^2 = \frac{v^4}{b^2}\Delta_{sp}, \tag{5.10}$$

where $Y = H(s,p)$, $\Delta_{ip} = 3\psi(\frac{s-p}{b})\{1-\psi(\frac{s-p}{b})\}$ and

$$K_6(Y) = \mathbf{E}(Y^6) - 15\mathbf{E}(Y^4)\mathbf{E}(Y^2) - 10\mathbf{E}(Y^3)^2 + 30\mathbf{E}(Y^2)^3 = \frac{v^6}{b^3}\Theta_{sp} \tag{5.11}$$

with $\Theta_{ip} = 15\psi(\frac{s-p}{b})\{1 - \frac{45}{15}\psi(\frac{s-p}{b}) + \frac{30}{15}\psi(\frac{s-p}{b})^2\}$.

Using (3.6) and (3.7), we obtain that $\sup_{t,s,p}|D^r_{sp}[U^0_{G_1}(t)G_2(p,s)]| = O(1)$ with $r = 1,2,...$ Which gives

$$|\sum_{|s|\le n}\frac{K_6}{120}\mathbf{E}\{D^5_{sp}[U^0_{G_1}(t)G_2(p,s)]\}| \le \frac{M_1}{b^2}\sum_{|s|\le n}\frac{\Theta_{sp}}{b}$$

$$\le \frac{15M_1}{b^2}\sum_{|s|\le n}\frac{\psi(\frac{s-p}{b})}{b} = O(\frac{1}{b^2}) \tag{5.12}$$

where M_1 is a constant.

One can estimate the terms with the third derivative by using similar estimates in relations (4.9) and (5.6) :

$$-\xi_2\sum_{|s|\le n}\frac{K_4}{6}\mathbf{E}\{D^3_{sp}[U^0_{G_1}(t)G_2(p,s)]\} = O\{\frac{1}{b_2} + \frac{1}{b}\mathbf{E}(|U^0_{G_1}(t)|)\}. \tag{5.13}$$

Indeed, $\mathbf{E}\{D^3_{sp}[U^0_{G_1}(t)G_2(p,s)]\}$ contains 44 terms of the form

$$\sum_{|i|\le n}\mathbf{E}\{G_{\gamma_1}(\alpha_1,\beta_1)G_{\gamma_2}(\alpha_2,\beta_2)G_{\gamma_3}(\alpha_3,\beta_3)G_{\gamma_4}(\alpha_4,\beta_4)G_{\gamma_5}(\alpha_5,\beta_5)\}u(i,t),$$

and 3 terms of the form

$$\sum_{|i|\le n}\mathbf{E}\{G^0_1(i,i)G_{\gamma_2}(\alpha_2,\beta_2)G_{\gamma_3}(\alpha_3,\beta_3)G_{\gamma_4}(\alpha_4,\beta_4)G_{\gamma_5}(\alpha_5,\beta_5)\}u(i,t),$$

where $\gamma_j = 1$ or 2 and $\alpha_j \in \{i,s,p\}$ and $\beta_j \in \{i,s,p\}$. These terms can be gathered into three groups. In each group the terms are estimated by the same values with the help of the same computations.

We give estimates for the typical cases. Using (2.1) and (3.40), we get for the first terms of the first group :

$$|\sum_{i,s}K_4(H(s,p))\mathbf{E}\{G_1(i,p)^2G_1(s,s)G_1(p,s)G_2(p,s)\}u(i,t)|$$

$$\leq \frac{3^4}{b^2\eta^3}\sum_{|i|\leq n}|G_1(i,p)^2|\sum_{|s|\leq n}u(s,p)\leq \frac{3v^4}{b^2\eta^5}. \tag{5.14}$$

For the terms of the second group, we obtain estimates
$|\sum_{i,s}K_4\mathbf{E}\{G_1(i,s)G_1(i,p)G_1(p,p)G_1(s,s)G_2(p,s)\}u(i,t)|$

$$\leq \frac{3v^4}{b\eta^3}\sum_{s,i}\mathbf{E}\{|G_1(p,i)G_2(p,s)|\}u(p,s)u(i,t)$$

$$\leq \frac{3v^4}{b|Imz|^3}\sqrt{\sum_{|i|\leq n}|G_1(p,i)u(i,t)|^2}\sqrt{\sum_{|s|\leq n}|G_2(p,s)u(s,p)|^2}=O(\frac{1}{b^3}). \tag{5.15}$$

Finally, for the terms of the third group, we get inequalities

$$|\sum_{i,s}K_4\mathbf{E}\{G_1(i,i)^0G_2(p,s)^2G_2(p,p)G_2(s,s)\}u(i,t)|$$

$$\leq \frac{3v^4}{b\eta^4}\mathbf{E}(|U^0_{G_1}(t)|)\{\sum_{|s|\leq n}u(s,p)\}=O(\frac{1}{b}\mathbf{E}(|U^0_{G_1}(t)|)). \tag{5.16}$$

Gathering all the estimates of 47 terms, we obtain (5.13). Finally, notice that the term

$$|\frac{\xi_2v^2}{b}\mathbf{E}\{U^0_{G_1}(t)G_2(p,p)^2+\sum_{|i|\leq n}G_1(i,p)^2G_2(p,p)u(i,t)\}\psi(0)|$$

is estimated using (4.14). Then (5.8) follows from (4.14), (5.9), (5.12) and (5.13). ⋄

Let us prove (5.3). Using (3.2), one has

$$\mathbf{E}G^0_1(i,i)G_2(p,p)=-\xi_2\sum_{|s|\leq n}\mathbf{E}\{G^0_1(i,i)G_2(p,s)\}.$$

For each pair (s,p) $G^0_1(i,i)G_2(p,s)$ is a smooth function of $H(s,p)$ and its derivative are bounded because of (3.6) and (3.7). In particular $|D^4_{sp}[G^0_1(i,i)G_2(p,s)]|\leq c_4(|Imz_1|^{-1}+|Imz_2|^{-1})^6$ with a constant c_4.

According to (2.2), (1.5) and condition $\hat{\mu}_5<\infty$ (2.4), the 5-th absolute moment of $H(s,p)$ is of order $b^{-3/2}$. Thus applying (3.4) to $\mathbf{E}\{G^0_1(i,i)G_2(p,s)\}$ with $q=3$, one obtains

$$\mathbf{E}G^0_1(i,i)G_2(p,p)=\xi_2v^2\mathbf{E}\{G^0_1(i,i)G_2(p,p)U_{G_2}(p)\}$$

$$+\xi_2 v^2 \sum_{|s|\le n} \mathbf{E}\{G_1^0(i,i)G_2(p,s)^2 u(s,p)\} + 2\xi_2 v^2 \sum_{|s|\le n} \mathbf{E}\{G_1(i,s)G_1(p,i)G_2(p,s)u(p,s)\}$$

$$-\xi_2 \sum_{|s|\le n} \frac{K_4}{6} \mathbf{E}\{D_{sp}^3[G_1^0(i,i)G_2(p,s)]\} - \xi_2 \sum_{|s|\le n} \epsilon_{sp}^{(2)}$$

with

$$|\epsilon_{sp}^{(2)}| \le c\{\sup |D_{sp}^4[G_1^0(i,i)G_2(p,s)]|\} b^{-5/2} \mathbf{E}(|a_{ps}|^5)\mathbf{E}(d_{ps}^5).$$

Using the identity (4.6) and the definition of q_2 (4.5), we write

$$\mathbf{E}G_1^0(i,i)G_2(p,p) = q_2(p)v^2 \mathbf{E}\{G_1^0(i,i)G_2(p,p)U_{G_2}^0(p)\}$$

$$+q_2(p)v^2 \sum_{|s|\le n} \mathbf{E}\{G_1^0(i,i)G_2(p,s)^2 u(s,p)\}$$

$$+2q_2(p)v^2 \sum_{|s|\le n} \mathbf{E}\{G_1(i,s)G_1(p,i)G_2(p,s)u(p,s)\}$$

$$-\frac{q_2(p)v^2}{b} \mathbf{E}\{G_1^0(i,i)G_2(p,p)^2 + G_1(i,p)^2 G_2(p,p)\}\psi(0)$$

$$-q_2(p) \sum_{|s|\le n} \frac{K_4}{6} \mathbf{E}\{D_{sp}^3[G_1^0(i,i)G_2(p,s)]\} - q_2(p) \sum_{|s|\le n} \epsilon_{sp}^{(2)}. \qquad (5.17)$$

It is easy to show that

$$|\sum_{|s|\le n} \epsilon_{sp}^{(2)}| \le \frac{M_2}{b\sqrt{b}} \sum_s u(s,p) = O(\frac{1}{b\sqrt{b}}), \qquad (5.18)$$

with M_2 some constant. Inequality (3.7) implies that $D_{sp}^r[G_1^0(i,i)G_2(p,s)] = O(1)$ with $r = 1, 2, ..$, whence one obtains inequality

$$|\sum_{|s|\le n} K_4 \mathbf{E}\{D_{sp}^3[G_1^0(i,i)G_2(p,s)]\}| \le \frac{3v^4 M_3}{b} \sum_{|s|\le n} u(s,p), \qquad (5.19)$$

for some constant M_3. Finally, it is easy to show that (3.7) implies estimate

$$|\frac{q_2(p)v^2}{b} \mathbf{E}\{G_1^0(i,i)G_2(p,p)^2 + G_1(i,p)^2 G_2(p,p)\}\psi(0)| = O(\frac{1}{b}). \qquad (5.20)$$

Now, (5.3) follows from relation (5.17), inequality (3.40) and estimates (5.2), (5.18), (5.19) and (5.20). The estimate (5.3) is proved, then Lemma 5.1 follows and finishes the proof of Theorem 5.1. ◇

5.2 Second Estimates for the Variance

In this subsection, we derive estimates of the variance under more strong conditions on H_n and ψ.

Theorem 5.2. *Suppose that* $\mathbf{E}\{a^{2l+1}(i,p)\} = 0$ *with* $l = 0, 1, 2,$

$$\mathbf{E}\{a(i,p)^2\} = v^2,\ \mathbf{E}\{a(i,p)^4\} = 3v^4,\ \mathbf{E}\{a(i,p)^6\} = 15v^6, \quad |i|, |p| \leq n$$

and

$$\hat{\mu}_{10} = \sup_{i,p} \mathbf{E}|a(i,p)|^{10} < \infty \quad \textit{and} \quad \int_{\mathbf{R}} \sqrt{\psi(t)}dt \leq \infty,$$

then the estimates

$$\sup_{|i|\leq n} |\mathbf{E}g_n^0(z)G^0(i,i)| = O(\frac{1}{nb} + \frac{1}{b}\sqrt{\mathbf{Var}g_n(z)}) \tag{5.21}$$

and

$$\mathbf{Var}\{g_n(z)\} = O(\frac{1}{b^2}) \tag{5.22}$$

hold for large enough n *and* b *and for all* $z \in \Lambda_\eta$.

Proof of Theorem 5.2. We start with (5.21). The proof follows the lines of the proof of (5.3). Regarding (5.17) and summing it over i and using the notation $g_n(z_1) = g_1$, we obtain that

$$N\mathbf{E}\{g_1^0 G_2(p,p)\} = Nq_2(p)v^2\mathbf{E}\{g_1^0 G_2(p,p)U_{G_2}^0(p)\}$$

$$+Nq_2(p)v^2 \sum_{|s|\leq n} \mathbf{E}\{g_1^0 G_2(p,s)^2 u(s,p)\} + 2q_2(p)v^2 \sum_{s,i} \mathbf{E}\{G_1(i,s)G_1(p,i)G_2(p,s)u(p,s)\}$$

$$-\frac{q_2(p)v^2}{b}\mathbf{E}\{Ng_1^0 G_2(p,p)^2 + \sum_{|i|\leq n} G_1(i,p)^2 G_2(p,p)\}\psi(0)$$

$$-Nq_2(p)\sum_{|s|\leq n}\frac{K_4}{6}\mathbf{E}\{D_{sp}^3[g_1^0 G_2(p,s)]\} - q_2(p)\sum_{s,i}\epsilon_{sp}^{(2)}. \tag{5.23}$$

Using (5.2), (3.7), (3.40) and (4.12), it is easy to show that

$$Nq_2(p)v^2\mathbf{E}\{g_1^0 G_2(p,p)U_{G_2}^0(p)\} = O(\frac{N}{b}\sqrt{\mathbf{Var}(g_1)}), \tag{5.24}$$

$$Nq_2(p)v^2 \sum_{|s|\leq n} \mathbf{E}\{g_1^0 G_2(p,s)^2 u(s,p)\} = O(\frac{N}{b}\sqrt{\mathbf{Var}(g_1)}), \tag{5.25}$$

$$|2q_2(p)v^2\sum_{s,i}\mathbf{E}\{G_1(i,s)G_1(p,i)G_2(p,s)u(p,s)\}|$$

$$\leq \frac{2v}{|Imz_1||Imz_2|b}\sqrt{\sum_{|i|\leq n}|G_1(p,i)|^2}\sqrt{\sum_{|s|\leq n}|G_2(p,s)|^2} = O(\frac{1}{b}) \qquad (5.26)$$

and

$$\frac{q_2(p)v^2}{b}\mathbf{E}\{Ng_1^0G_2(p,p)^2+\sum_{|i|\leq n}G_1(i,p)^2G_2(p,p)\}\psi(0)$$

$$= O(\frac{N}{b}\sqrt{\mathbf{Var}(g_1)}+\frac{1}{b}). \qquad (5.27)$$

Now, regarding $D^r_{sp}[g_1^0G_2(p,s)]$ with $r=1,2,..$ and (5.31) (see Lemma 5.2 at the end of this subsection), it is easy to see that

$$\sup_{s,p}|D^r_{sp}[g_1^0G_2(p,s)]| = O(\frac{1}{N}+|g_1^0|), \quad r=1,2,... \qquad (5.28)$$

Then we obtain

$$|Nq_2(p)\sum_{|s|\leq n}K_4\mathbf{E}\{D^3_{sp}[g_1^0G_2(p,s)]\}| \leq \frac{N}{\eta}\sum_{|s|\leq n}\frac{\Delta_{sp}}{b^2}|\mathbf{E}\{D^3_{sp}[g_1^0G_2(p,s)]\}|$$

$$= O(\frac{N}{b}[\frac{1}{N}+\sqrt{\mathbf{Var}(g_1)}]). \qquad (5.29)$$

Now we give more details for the remainder $\epsilon^{(2)}$

$$|\epsilon^{(2)}_{sp}| \leq c\{K_4\mathbf{E}|H(s,p)D^4_{sp}[G_1^0(i,i)G_2(p,s)]^{(1)}| + K_2\mathbf{E}|H^3(s,p)D^4_{sp}[G_1^0(i,i)G_2(p,s)]^{(2)}|$$

$$+\mathbf{E}|H^5(s,p)D^4_{sp}[G_1^0(i,i)G_2(p,s)]^{(3)}|\}, \qquad (5.30a)$$

where for each pair (p,s)

$$[G_1^0(i,i)G_2(p,s)]^{(\nu)} = \{G^{(\nu)}\}^0_{sp}(i,i;z_1)G^{(\nu)}_{sp}(p,s;z_2), \quad \nu=1,2,3$$

and $G^{(\nu)}_{sp}(z_j)=(H^{(\nu)}_{sp}-z_j)^{-1}$, $j=1,2$ is the resolvent of the real symmetric matrix $H^{(\nu)}_{sp}$:

$$H^{(\nu)}_{sp}(r,i) = \begin{cases} H(r,i) & \text{if} \quad (r,i)\neq(s,p) \\ H^{(\nu)}(s,p) & \text{if} \quad (r,i)=(s,p)\end{cases}$$

with $|H^{(\nu)}(s,p)| \leq |H(s,p)|$, $\nu = 1,2,3$, (see Lemma 5.3 at the end of this subsection). Regarding $(5.30a)$ and summing it over i and s, we obtain

$$\sum_{s,i} |\epsilon_{sp}^{(2)}| \leq Nc \sum_{|s|\leq n} \{K_4 \mathbf{E}|H(s,p) D_{sp}^4 [g_1^0 G_2(p,s)]^{(1)}| + K_2 \mathbf{E}|H^3(s,p) D_{sp}^4 [g_1^0 G_2(p,s)]^{(2)}|$$

$$+\mathbf{E}|H^5(s,p) D_{sp}^4 [g_1^0 G_2(p,s)]^{(3)}|\} \qquad (5.30b)$$

Hereafter, we use the notation : for each pair (p,s) and $\nu = 1,2,3$, let $H_{sp}^{(\nu)} = \hat{H}$ be the matrix defined by

$$\hat{H}(r,i) = \begin{cases} H(r,i) & \text{if} \quad (r,i) \neq (s,p) \\ \hat{H}(s,p) & \text{if} \quad (r,i) = (s,p) \end{cases}$$

with $|\hat{H}(s,p)| \leq |H(s,p)|$, the resolvent $G_{sp}^{(\nu)}(z_j) = \hat{G}_j$ and its normalized trace by $\hat{g}_j$, $j = 1,2$. To derive estimates of $\epsilon^{(2)}$, we need the following Lemma.

Lemma 5.2. *If* $z \in \Lambda_\eta$ (2.10) *and for large enough* n *and* b*, the estimates*

$$D_{sp}^r \{g_n^0(z)\} = O(\frac{1}{N}), \quad r = 1,2,.. \qquad (5.31)$$

and

$$\mathbf{Var}(\hat{g}_n(z)) = O\{\mathbf{Var}(g_n(z)) + \frac{1}{bN^2}\}, \qquad (5.32)$$

are true in the limit $N, b \longrightarrow \infty$.

We prove Lemma 5.2 at the end of this section.

Keeping in mind the expression $(5.30b)$ and using (5.28), (5.31) and (5.32), we obtain inequalities

$$\sum_{|s|\leq n} \mathbf{E}|\hat{H}^5(s,p) D_{sp}^4 \{g_1^0 \widehat{G_2(p,s)}\}| \leq \frac{\beta\hat{\mu}_5}{Nb^{3/2}} \sum_{|s|\leq n} \frac{\psi(\frac{s-p}{b})}{b} + \beta \sum_{|s|\leq n} \mathbf{E}\{|\hat{H}(s,p)|^5 |\hat{g}_1^0|\}$$

$$\leq \frac{\beta\hat{\mu}_5}{Nb^{3/2}} \sum_{|s|\leq n} \frac{\psi(\frac{s-p}{b})}{b} + \beta\sqrt{\mathbf{Var}\{\hat{g}_1\}} \sum_{|s|\leq n} \sqrt{\mathbf{E}\{|\hat{H}(s,p)|^{10}\}}$$

$$\leq \frac{\beta\hat{\mu}_5}{Nb^{3/2}} \sum_{|s|\leq n} \frac{\psi(\frac{s-p}{b})}{b} + \frac{\beta\sqrt{\hat{\mu}_{10}}}{b^{3/2}} \sqrt{\mathbf{Var}\{\hat{g}_1\}} \sum_{|s|\leq n} \frac{\sqrt{\psi}(\frac{s-p}{b})}{b}$$

$$= O\{\frac{1}{b^{3/2}}[\frac{1}{N} + \sqrt{\mathbf{Var}\{g_1\}}]\}, \qquad (5.33)$$

$$\sum_{|s|\le n} K_4 \mathbf{E}|\hat{H}(s,p) D^4_{sp}\{g_1^0 \widehat{G_2(p,s)}\}|$$

$$\le \frac{3v^2\beta\hat{\mu}_1}{Nb^{3/2}} \sum_{|s|\le n} \frac{\psi(\frac{s-p}{b})}{b} + \frac{3v^2\beta\sqrt{\hat{\mu}_2}\sqrt{\mathbf{Var}\{\hat{g}_1\}}}{b^{3/2}} \sum_{|s|\le n} \frac{\psi(\frac{s-p}{b})}{b}$$

$$= O\{\frac{1}{b^{\frac{3}{2}}}[\frac{1}{N} + \sqrt{\mathbf{Var}\{g_1\}}]\} \tag{5.34}$$

and

$$\sum_{|s|\le n} K_2 \mathbf{E}|\hat{H}^3(s,p) D^4_{sp}\{g_1^0 \widehat{G_2(p,s)}\}|$$

$$\le \frac{3v^2\beta\hat{\mu}_3}{Nb^{3/2}} \sum_{|s|\le n} \frac{\psi(\frac{s-p}{b})}{b} + \frac{3v^2\beta\sqrt{\hat{\mu}_6}\sqrt{\mathbf{Var}\{\hat{g}_1\}}}{b^{3/2}} \sum_{|s|\le n} \frac{\psi(\frac{s-p}{b})}{b}$$

$$= O\{\frac{1}{b^{\frac{3}{2}}}[\frac{1}{N} + \sqrt{\mathbf{Var}\{g_1\}}]\} \tag{5.35}$$

with some constant β and $\hat{\mu}_r = \sup_{i,p} \mathbf{E}|a(i,p)|^r < \infty$, $r = 1,..,10$. Then (5.33), (5.34) and (5.35) imply the following estimate

$$|\sum_{s,i} \epsilon^{(2)}_{sp}| = O\{N[\frac{1}{b^{\frac{3}{2}}}(\frac{1}{N} + \sqrt{\mathbf{Var}(\hat{g}_1)})]\}$$

$$= O[N(\frac{1}{Nb} + \frac{1}{b}\sqrt{\mathbf{Var}(g_1)})]. \tag{5.36}$$

Returning to (5.23), using definition $N = 2n+1 > n$ and gathering estimates (5.24), (5.25), (5.26), (5.27), (5.29) and (5.36), we get (5.21).

Now, let us prove (5.22). Regarding (5.23) and summing it over p with $G_2 = \overline{G}_1 = \overline{G}$ and using (5.21), we obtain estimate

$$\mathbf{Var}\{g(z)\} \le A(\frac{1}{nb} + \frac{1}{b}\sqrt{\mathbf{Var}(g(z))}). \tag{5.37}$$

where A is a constant. Regarding this inequality, it is easy to show that $\mathbf{Var}\{g_n(z)\} = O(b^{-2})$. Relation (5.22) is then proved and ends the proof of Theorem 5.2. $\diamond$

Proof of Lemma 5.2. We start with (5.31). Consider the normalized trace $g_n(z) = g(z) = \frac{1}{N}\sum_{|k|\le n} G(k,k)$, with $z \in \Lambda_\eta$. Regarding (3.6), we obtain

$$D^1_{sp}\{g^0(z)\} = \frac{1}{N}\sum_{|k|\le n} D^{(1)}_{sp}\{G(k,k)\} = -\frac{2}{N}\sum_{|k|\le n} G(k,s)G(k,p)$$

$$= -\frac{2}{N}G^2(s,p).$$

Using (3.7), we get

$$|D^1_{sp}\{g^0(z)\}| \le \frac{2}{N|Imz|^2}.$$

Regarding the second derivative, one obtains

$$D^2_{sp}\{g^0(z)\} = \frac{2}{N}\{2G^2(s,p)G(s,p) + G^2(p,p)G(s,s) + G^2(s,s)G(p,p)\},$$

using (3.7), we obtain $D^2_{sp}\{g^0(z)\} = O(\frac{1}{N})$. Then it is easy to show that $D^r_{sp}\{g^0(z)\} = O(\frac{1}{N})$, $r = 1, 2...$ Estimate (5.31) is proved.

Now let us prove (5.32). Using the resolvent identity (3.1) for two hermitian matrices H and $\hat{H}$, we obtain

$$\hat{g} = \hat{g}_n(z) = \frac{1}{N}\sum_{|k|\le n} \hat{G}(k,k) = \frac{1}{N}\sum_{|k|\le n} G(k,k) + \frac{1}{N}\sum_{k,r,i} \hat{G}(k,r)[\hat{H}-H](r,i)G(i,k)$$

$$= g + \frac{1}{N}Tr(G\hat{G}\delta_H) \tag{5.38}$$

with

$$[\delta_H](r,i) = [\hat{H}-H](r,i) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } \ (r,i) \ne (s,p), \\ \hat{H}(s,p) - H(s,p) & \text{if } \ (r,i) = (s,p). \end{cases}$$

Then

$$Tr(G\hat{G}\delta_H) = \sum_{r,i}[G\hat{G}](r,i)[\delta_H](r,i) = [G\hat{G}](s,p)[\hat{H}(s,p) - H(s,p)]. \tag{5.39}$$

Let us return to relation (5.38). It is easy to see that

$$\mathbf{Var}(\hat{g}) \le 2\mathbf{Var}(g) + \frac{2}{N^2}\mathbf{Var}(Tr\{G\hat{G}\delta_H\}). \tag{5.40}$$

Then assuming that

$$\mathbf{Var}(Tr\{G\hat{G}\delta_H\}) = O(\frac{1}{b}) \tag{5.41}$$

holds, (5.32) follows from (5.40) and (5.41). Let us prove (5.41). It is clear that $|\hat{H}_{sp} - H_{sp}| \leq 2|H_{sp}|$. Using (3.7) and (5.39), we obtain

$$\mathbf{Var}(Tr\{G\hat{G}\delta_H\}) \leq \frac{4}{|Imz|^4}\mathbf{E}(|H(s,p)| + \mathbf{E}(|H(s,p)|))^2$$

$$\leq \frac{4}{b|Imz|^4}\{[\mathbf{E}(|a_{sp}|)(1+\psi(\frac{s-p}{b}))]^2\psi(\frac{s-p}{b}) + \mathbf{E}(|a_{sp}|)^2\psi(\frac{s-p}{b})^2(1-\psi(\frac{s-p}{b}))\}$$

$$= O(\frac{1}{b}). \quad \diamond$$

Finally, we prove (5.30) for the remainder $\epsilon^{(2)}$.

Lemma 5.3. (**The cumulant expansions method with q=3**). *Let us consider the family $\{X_j,\ j = 1,..,m\}$ of independent real random variables determined on the same probability space such that $\mathbf{E}\{|X_j|^5\} < \infty$, $j = 1,..,m$ and*

$$\mathbf{E}(X_j) = \mathbf{E}(X_j^3) = 0, \quad j = 1,..,m.$$

If $F(t_1,..,t_m)$ is a complex-valued function acting on vectors (with components as a real variables) in a $m-$dimensional space such that its first 4 derivatives are continuous and bounded, then, for all j, we obtain (3.4) with $q = 3$ and the remainder ϵ_3 is given by

$$\epsilon_3 = -\frac{K_4}{3!}\mathbf{E}\{Xf_j^{(4)}(Y_2)\} - \frac{K_2}{3!}\mathbf{E}\{X^3 f_j^{(4)}(Y_1)\}$$

$$+\frac{1}{4!}\mathbf{E}\{X^5 f_j^{(4)}(Y_0)\}, \tag{5.42}$$

where $|Y_\nu| \leq |X_j|$, $\nu = 0,1,2$, K_r is the r-th cumulant of X_j and f_j is a complex-valued function of one real variable such that

$$f_j(Y) = F(X_1,..,X_{j-1},Y,X_{j+1},..,X_n)$$

and $f_j^{(4)}$ is its $4-$th derivative.

The cumulants can be expressed in terms of the moments. If $\mu_r = \mathbf{E}(X_j^r)$ with $j = 1,..,m$, one obtains : $K_1 = \mu_1 = 0$, $K_2 = \mu_2$, $K_3 = 0$, $K_4 = \mu_4 - 3\mu_2^2$.

Proof of Lemma 5.3. To simplify the notation we write $X = X_j$ for a real random variable such that $\mathbf{E}\{|X|^5\} < \infty$ and $\mathbf{E}(X) = \mathbf{E}(X^3) = 0$, and we denote $f = f_j$.

Now, consider a function f of the class C^4 and apply Taylor's formula to f, to obtain relation

$$f(X) = \sum_{r=0}^{3} \frac{X^r}{r!} f^{(r)}(0) + \frac{X^4}{4!} f^{(4)}(Y_0), \quad |Y_0| \le |X|.$$

Multiplying this equation by X and taking the mathematical expectation of both sides, we obtain equality

$$\mathbf{E}\{Xf(X)\} = \mathbf{E}(X^2)\mathbf{E}(f^{'}(X)) + \mathbf{E}(\frac{X^4}{3!})f^{(3)}(0) + \mathbf{E}\{\frac{X^5}{4!} f^{(5)}(Y_0)\}. \quad (5.43)$$

Now we apply Taylor's formula to $f^{'}$, and write that

$$f^{'}(X) = f^{'}(0) + Xf^{(2)}(0) + \frac{X^2}{2!} f^{(3)}(0) + \frac{X^3}{3!} f^{(4)}(Y_1),$$

with $|Y_1| \le |X|$. Taking the mathematical expectation of both sides and multiplying by $\mathbf{E}(X^2)$, we obtain relation

$$\mathbf{E}(X^2)\mathbf{E}\{f^{'}(0)\} = \mathbf{E}(X^2)\mathbf{E}\{f^{'}(X)\} - \frac{[\mathbf{E}(X^2)]^2}{2!}\mathbf{E}\{f^{(3)}(0)\}$$

$$-\mathbf{E}(X^2)\mathbf{E}\{\frac{X^3}{3!} f^{(4)}(Y_1)\}. \quad (5.44)$$

Applying Taylor's formula to $f^{(3)}$, we see that

$$\mathbf{E}\{f^{(3)}(0)\} = \mathbf{E}\{f^{(3)}(X)\} - \mathbf{E}\{Xf^{(4)}(Y_2)\}$$

with $|Y_2| \le |X|$. Then we can write that

$$\mathbf{E}(X^4)\mathbf{E}\{f^{(3)}(0)\} = \mathbf{E}(X^4)\mathbf{E}\{f^{(3)}(X)\} - \mathbf{E}(X^4)\mathbf{E}\{Xf^{(4)}(Y_2)\} \quad (5.45)$$

and that

$$\mathbf{E}(X^2)^2\mathbf{E}\{f^{(3)}(0)\} = \mathbf{E}(X^2)^2\mathbf{E}\{f^{(3)}(X)\} - \mathbf{E}(X^2)^2\mathbf{E}\{Xf^{(4)}(Y_2)\}. \quad (5.46)$$

Now, substitute (5.46) in relation (5.44) and use (5.45), to rewrite (5.43) as

$$\mathbf{E}\{Xf(X)\} = \mathbf{E}(X^2)\mathbf{E}(f^{'}(X)) + \frac{K_4}{3!}\mathbf{E}\{f^{(3)}(X)\}$$

$$+\frac{1}{4!}\mathbf{E}\{X^5 f^{(4)}(Y_0)\} - \frac{K_4}{3!}\mathbf{E}\{X f^{(4)}(Y_2)\} - \frac{K_2}{3!}\mathbf{E}\{X^3 f^{(4)}(Y_1)\}$$

with $K_4 = [\mathbf{E}(X^4) - 3\mathbf{E}(X^2)^2]$ and $K_2 = \mathbf{E}(X^2)$. This gives relation (5.42). $\diamond$

Acknowledgements. The author is grateful to Prof. Dr. O. Khorunzhy at University of Versailles (France), where present paper was completed, who proposed use to study the problems described in this paper.

Références

[1] Coppersmith.D, Gamarnik.D, Sviridenko.M.I. The diameter of long-range percolation graph. *In Mathematics and Computer Science II. Trends Math., Birkhauser, Basel*, 147-159 (2002).

[2] Donoghue W. Monotone Matrix Functions and Analytic continuation. Springer : Berlin, New York, (1974)

[3] Gasati.G, Girko.V.L. Wigner's semicircle law for band random matrices. *Rand. Oper. Stoch. Equations***1**, 15-20 (1993).

[4] Girko.V.L. Spectral properties of random matrices. *"Nauka", Moscow*, Russian, (1988).

[5] Guhr T, Muller-Groeling A, Weidenmuller H. Random matrix theories in quantum physics : common concepts. *Physics Rep.* **299**, 189-425, (1998).

[6] Janson S, Luczak T, Rucinski A. Random Graphs. John Wiles and Sons, *Inc. New York.* (2002)

[7] Khorunzhiy O, Kirsch W, Müller P. Lifshitz tails for spectra of Erdos Rényi random graphs. *Ann. Appl. Probab.* Volume 16, Number 1, 295-309, (2006).

[8] Khorunzhy A, Khoruzhenko B, Pastur L. Asymptotic properties of large random matrices with independent entries.*J.Math. Phys.* **37**, 5033-5060 (1996).

[9] Khorunzhy A, Khoruzhenko B, Pastur L, Shcherbina M. Large-n limit in statistical mechanics and the spectral theory of disordered systems. *In Phase Transitions and Critical Phenomena*, Vol.15, edg C.Domb and J.L.Lebowitz.Academic Press, London, pp. 73-239, (1992).

[10] Khorunzhy A, Kirsch W. On Asymptotic Expansions and Scales of Spectral Universality in Band Random Matrix Ensembles.*Commun. Math. Phys.* **231**, 223-255 (2002).

[11] Khorunzhy A, Pastur L. On the eigenvalue distribution of the deformed Wigner ensemble of random matrices. *Adv. Soviet. Math.* **19**, 97-127 (1994).

[12] Marchenko.V, Pastur.L. Eigenvalue distribution of some class of random matrices. *Matem. Sbornik.* **72**, 507 (1972).

[13] Mehta N-M. Random matrices, 2nd ed. *Academic, New York*, (1991).

[14] Mirlin A.D, Fyodorov Y.V. Universality of level correlation function of sparse random matrices. *J.Phys.A.* **24**, 2273-2286, (1991).

[15] Molchanov S A, Pastur L, Khorunzhy A. Eigenvalue distribution for band random matrices in the limit of their infinite rank. *Teor. Matem. Fizika* **99**, (1992).

[16] Pastur L. On the spectrum of random matrices. *Teoret. Mat. Fiz.* **10** (1972), no. 1, 102-110 ; *English transl. in Theoret. and Math Phys.* **10** (1972).

[17] Pastur L. Spectral of random self-adjoint operators. *Russian Math. Surveys* **28** 1-67, (1973).

[18] Rodgers G.J, Bray A.J. Density of states of a sparse random matrix. *Phys. Rev. B.* **37**, 3557-3562, (1988).

[19] Tulino A.M, Verdu S. Random matrix theory and wireless communications. *Foundations and Trends in Communications and Information Theory* Vol 1, no. 1,pp 1-182, (2004).

[20] Utsugi A, Ino K, Oshikawa M. Random matrix theory analysis of cross correlations in financial markets. *Physical. Review. E70, 026110* **11** (2004).

[21] Wigner E. Charecteristic vector of bordered matrices with infinite dimensions. *Ann. Math.* **62**, (1955).